



Paper No. 20

**REED SMITH LLP
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE,
SUITE 1400
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042**

COPY MAILED

OCT 08 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:
Tsuyoshi Yamamoto et al	: DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 09/873,206	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
Filed: June 5, 2001	: AND UNDER 37 CFR 1.55(c)
For: OPTICAL MEASUREMENT DEVICE	:

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.55(c), filed September 18, 2004, and September 22, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed PCT application, and under 35 U.S.C. § 119 for the benefit of a prior-filed foreign application.

The petitions are **GRANTED**.

As to the benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3):

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;¹

¹ Any nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference (amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS) to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and

- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending application was filed on June 5, 2001, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. A reference to the prior-filed application has been included in an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii).

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed PCT application is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). Also, the reference to the prior-filed PCT application was submitted during the pendency of the instant nonprovisional application, for which the claim for benefit of priority is sought. See 35 U.S.C. § 120. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) to the prior-filed PCT application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

As to the benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.55(c):

A petition for acceptance of a claim for foreign priority under 37 CFR 1.55(c) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the nonprovisional application must be filed within 12 months of the filing date of the foreign application. In addition, a petition under 37 CFR 1.55(c) to

serial number) or international application number and international filing date filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) to the prior foreign application, unless previously submitted;²
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and did not include a reference to the foreign application, for which benefit is now sought, within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior foreign application. Therefore, since the claim for priority is submitted after the period specified in 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1)(i), this is an appropriate petition under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.55(c).

The above-identified pending nonprovisional application was filed on June 5, 2001, which is after November 29, 2000 and intermediate prior-filed PCT Application No. PCT/JP99/06749 was filed on December 1, 1999, within 12 months of foreign Application No. 10-347299, filed December 7, 1998 (the filing date of the foreign application to which benefit is now being claimed). On June 5, 2001, a declaration was received which identifies the foreign application for which priority is claimed by application number, country and filing date. Lastly, petitioner has provided an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

All requirements being met, the petition under 37 CFR 1.55(c) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) through (d) is granted.

² The claim must identify in the oath or declaration the foreign application for which priority is claimed, as well as any foreign application for the same subject matter and having a filing date before that of the application for which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, and the filing date. *Note* also 37 CFR 1.63(c)(2).

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed PCT application, and the foreign priority claim, accompanies this decision on petition.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed application under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed application, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt accompanying this decision on petition includes the prior-filed application should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed application noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Karen Creasy at (571) 272-3208.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 3742 for consideration by the examiner of applicant's entitlement to claim benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) to prior-filed PCT Application No. PCT/JP99/06749, filed December 1, 1999, and for consideration of the foreign priority claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119 for the benefit of Foreign Application No. 10-347299, filed December 7, 1998.



Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt